

**From:** Mark Chou  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/24/02 5:12am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

I have read the Proposed Final Judgment (PFJ), and I am strongly against it in it's current state.

- The PFJ doesn't take into account Windows-compatible competing operating systems
- The PFJ contains misleading and overly narrow definitions and provisions
- The PFJ fails to prohibit anti-competitive license terms currently used by Microsoft
- The PFJ fails to prohibit intentional incompatibilities historically used by Microsoft
- The PFJ fails to prohibit anti-competitive practices towards OEMs (Original Equipment Manufacturers)
- The PFJ, as currently written, appears to lack an effective and meaningful enforcement mechanism.

The PFJ, as written, will allow and offer no substantive reduction of anti-competitive practices, will delay emergence of competing Windows-compatible operating systems, and thus not in the public interest. It should not be adopted without substantial revision to address these shortcomings.

For additional details, please see <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

Sincerely,  
Mark Chou  
Systems Programmer  
Portland, OR